(3) PAGE NO. 1

IN THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

W.P.NO. 362 /2002.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH ARTICLE 227 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS , ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

PETITIONERS : 1. Chatur Son of Awadha
Aged about 36 years,

2. Murari Son of Awadh Ram
Aged about 40 years.

3. Prahlad Son of Barati
Aged about 47 years.

4. Meen Lal Son of Maheshi
Aged about 32 years.

5. Deochand Son of Jiya Ram
Aged about 40 years.

6. Khelan Son of Rewa
Aged about 55 years.

7. Anil Son of Ganeshi
Aged about 30 years.

8. Vishvanath Son of Jiya Ram
Aged about 34 years.

9. Funu Ram S/O Awadh Ram
Aged about 50 years.

10. Kaushal Son of Dandu @ Ram Singh
Aged about 48 years.

P. R. No. 362 Presented by Shri Arun Sao dated 14/02/02

14/2/2002

...2.

11. Vijay Son of Maheshi
Aged about 35 years.

12. Umedi Son of Puna Ram ,
Aged about 38 years.

13. Ram manohar Son of Rewa Ram,
Aged about 52 years.

14. Ashok Son of Shobha Ram,
Aged about 36 years.

15. Ramchand Son of Barula,
Aged about 50 years.

16. Ramesh Son of Dhani Ram ,
Aged about 45 years.

All Resident of village Saristall,
Tahsil Lormi ,Distt.Bilaspur (C.G).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Collector, Bilaspur (C.G).

2. The Deputy Registrar,
Co-operative societies, Bilaspur
(C.G).

3. Pavan Das, President Samuhik Krishi
Sahakari Sanstha Maryadit Hardi
Bandh, Tah. Lormi,Distt.Bilaspur
(C.G).

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS.

As given in the cause title above.

4. R.D. Dhritlahare ; S/o (not known)
Co.operative Inspector the office of
Deputy Registrar, Co.operative Societies,
Bilaspur.

XI-HC-22

(143)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 362/ सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.11.2002

Shri R.N.Jha, Counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Ranbir Singh, G.A. for the State.

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Counsel for respondent No.3.

Heard.

Admittedly, the Society to which membership was claimed has gone under liquidation. In this view of the matter, the relief claimed in the petition has become infructuous. However, it is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners who are below the poverty line are entitled to allotment of land and other benefits. If that be so, it is for the petitioners to approach the appropriate authority under the relevant provisions for seeking relief. The claim for allotment and other benefits cannot be considered by this Court in these proceedings but in case such application is moved, the same shall be considered and disposed of on their own merits, in accordance with relevant provisions.

With the aforesaid observation/direction, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous. Consequently, I.A.Nos.859/02, 860/02, 1717/02, 1718/02, 1718-A, 4686/02, and 6626/02 also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
P. C. Naik
Judge

Anjani

पीछे देखिये

28/3/17